EXHIBIT N [PUBLIC VERSION]

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 240-14 Filed 12/22/23 Page 2 of 6 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING
3	
4	CUSTODIA BANK, INC.,
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. No.
7	FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD OF 22-cv-00125-SWS
8	GOVERNORS and FEDERAL RESERVE
9	BANK OF KANSAS CITY,
10	Defendants.
11	
12	
13	
14	CONFIDENTIAL
15	
16	
17	
18	CONFIDENTIAL DEPOSITION OF JUDITH HAZEN, a
19	Witness, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff before
20	Kelsey Robbins Schmalz, CSR No. 1571, CCR No. 1148,
21	RPR, pursuant to Notice on the 16th of October, 2023,
22	at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, 1
23	Memorial Drive, Kansas City, Missouri.
24	
25	

Page 53 1 services would be able to access them without having 2 a Fed account. 3 0. How do you get access to services without having a master account? 4 5 Α. It would settle through a 6 correspondent institution. 7 Q. Okay. So now we're getting to it. When you told me earlier that you could not have a 8 9 master account and get services, you mean if you 10 corresponded with another bank that did have a master 11 account? 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. And used that bank's master account? 14 Α. Yes. 15 So unless -- so if I'm a nonmember Ο. 16 state-chartered depository institution, and I want 17 any of the services that the Federal Reserve offers, 18 if I don't have my own master account, I have to get 19 another bank to agree to allow me to utilize their 2.0 Is that your understanding? services. 21 MR. MICHAELSON: Object to the form. In order to access the Fed's services, 22 Α. 23 you would either need to access them directly through 24 us or you would need to access them through an 25 institution that has access to them, so.

Page 245 1 Federal Reserve Board of Governors and even go beyond 2 them, all that stuff that was stricken out by someone 3 at the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, that, in fact, was deleted from the final version you sent to 4 5 Esther George; was it not? 6 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form. 7 Misstates what was stricken out. 8 You can answer. 9 BY MR. ORTIZ: 10 Ο. You know what I'm talking about, don't 11 you, ma'am? 12 Α. The final version of the memo would 13 have incorporated feedback that we had received from 14 the number of individuals working on this within the 15 Reserve Bank, and we also would have taken into 16 consideration any feedback that we received from the 17 Board of Governors staff. This is Bate number 2127. We'll mark 18 Ο. 19 this as Exhibit 37. 2.0 (Hazen Exhibit No. 37 was marked for 21 identification.) 22 BY MR. ORTIZ: 23 Ο. This is dated January 27th, 2023. 24 Exhibit 37 is ultimately what was provided to Caitlin 25 Long?

Page 246

1 Α. This is the letter communicating our 2 decision on the master account request. And this decision was issued on the 3 0. same day that the Board of Governors issued their 4 decision denying the membership, correct? 5 As I said before, the final piece that 6 7 we needed to understand before we could conclude our analysis was what the decision was going to be by the 8 9 Board of Governors on the membership request, so once 10 that was determined and communicated, we could then communicate our decision -- finalize and communicate 11 12 our decision on the master account request. 13 Q. Well, from a timing standpoint of when your chose to notify my client and when the Board of 14 15 Governors chose to notify my client about the 16 membership application denial, those were all issued 17 on the same day, January 27th, 2023, correct? 18 After they communicated their Α. Yes. 19 decision, we were able to take that into account in 2.0 our decision and communicate our decision. 21 And it's your testimony under oath 22 that you did not know about that prior to 23 January 27th? 24 Objection. MR. MICHAELSON: Misstates prior testimony. 25

Page 248

the action of the Governors was going to be.

- Q. Now, how was it that your notification of the master account, the Board of Governors notification of the membership application coincided on the same day, January 27th, 2023, that the White House issued a specific release that was basically anti-cryptocurrency? Was that coordinated between the executive branch, your bank, and the Board of Governors?
- A. I can't speak to any actions by the executive branch. The timing of the membership decision and the final decision on the master account was based on the timing of the Board of Governors's decision on the membership.
- Q. So is it your testimony that you were not told from any source, Esther George or anyone, that we were going to do the timing of this denial on the same time, same day that the White House was coming out with a stance against cryptocurrency? You're saying that was just coincidental?

MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form.

- A. I had no knowledge of the White House communication that you're referring to.
- 24 BY MR. ORTIZ:

2.0

Q. That really seems hard to fathom that